



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/066,390

02/01/2002

Hal S. Padgett

P-LG 4878

4639

74352 7590 09/04/2008

NOVICI BIOTECH LLC
3333 VACA VALLEY PARKWAY
VACAVILLE, CA 95688

EXAMINER

STRZELECKA, TERESA E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/04/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/066,390	Applicant(s) PADGETT ET AL.	
	Examiner TERESA E. STRZELECKA	Art Unit 1637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TERESA E. STRZELECKA. (3) Hal Padgett.

(2) Wayne Fitzmaurice. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Arnold et al. and Birkenkamp et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending rejections were discussed in view of Applicants' arguments about non-obviousness of the results obtained by the claimed method and conditions used to obtain them. Examiner explained her position on the applicability of the cited references to the claimed subject matter which now does not contain limitations to any specific results or experimental conditions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Teresa E Strzelecka/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637	August 29, 2008
--	-----------------